### NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

# IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FIFTH APPELLATE DISTRICT

In re S.S., a Person Coming Under the Juvenile Court Law.

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

V.

S.S.,

Defendant and Appellant.

F058374

(Super. Ct. No. JJD062932)

OPINION

## **THE COURT**\*

APPEAL from a judgment of the Superior Court of Tulare County. Charlotte A. Wittig, Commissioner.

Julia J. Spikes, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for Defendant and Appellant.

Office of the State Attorney General, Sacramento, California, for Plaintiff and Respondent.

-00O00-

<sup>\*</sup>Before Hill, Acting P.J., Kane, J., and Poochigian, J.

It was alleged in a juvenile wardship petition (Welf. & Inst. Code, § 602) filed April 3, 2008, that appellant S.S., a minor, committed two acts of misdemeanor battery against two victims (Pen. Code, § 242). On April 29, 2008, the juvenile court placed appellant on informal probation pursuant to Welfare and Institutions Code section 654.2.

A second wardship petition was filed on May 18, 2009,<sup>1</sup> in which it was alleged appellant engaged in prostitution (Pen. Code, § 647, subd. (b)), gave false identification information to a peace officer (Pen. Code, § 148.9, subd. (a)), resisted, obstructed or delayed a peace officer (Pen. Code, § 148, subd. (a)(1)), and loitered in a public place with the intent to commit prostitution (Pen. Code, § 653.22, subd. (a)).

On May 13, appellant admitted the Penal Code section 148, subdivision (a)(1), violation and the court dismissed the remaining counts of the second petition. On May 26, the first petition was amended to allege one count of battery against two victims, and appellant admitted the allegation.

On June 19, the juvenile court adjudged appellant a ward of the court and ordered her placed in the Tulare County Youth Correctional Center Unit for 160 to 365 days. On August 12, the court modified its order to allow appellant to be placed in foster care.

On August 14, appellant filed a notice of appeal from the juvenile court's June 19 order.

Appellant's appointed appellate counsel has filed an opening brief which summarizes the pertinent facts, with citations to the record, raises no issues, and asks that this court independently review the record. (*People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d. 436.) Appellant has not responded to this court's invitation to submit additional briefing. We will affirm.

Except as otherwise indicated, all further references to dates of events are to dates in 2009.

#### FACTS<sup>2</sup>

#### First Petition

According to a Visalia Police Department report, the following occurred on April 1, 2008: A group home supervisor "was attempting to detain an out of control client when [appellant] intervened and pushed [the supervisor]." A group home staff member grabbed appellant and pulled her away, but appellant "turned around and shoved [the supervisor] into the wall."

#### Second Petition

According to a Fresno Police Department report, the following occurred on March 10: Police officers conducting an undercover prostitution sting operation observed appellant and three other minors "walking up and down Blackstone Avenue." The officers "concluded the minors were in violation of loitering for the purpose of soliciting prostitution" and arrested them. "As they were waiting for the transport unit, [appellant] began to run through a parking lot and road traffic." She was subsequently arrested.

#### DISCUSSION

Following independent review of the record, we have concluded that no reasonably arguable legal or factual issues exist.

#### DISPOSITION

The judgment is affirmed.

Our factual statement is taken from the June 9 report of the probation officer.